

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX
11 MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND
12 PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION,
13 _____/

CASE NO. 05-1699 CRB

MDL No. 1699 CRB

**ORDER RE JULY 24, 2009 through
July 30, 2009 SUBMISSIONS**

14 *This document relates to:*

15 Edward Earl Thomas, 06-3674 CRB
16 _____/

17 The Court has received seven new letter from pro se plaintiff Edward E. Thomas all
18 identified as “supplemental brief,” “appeal de no,” and “emergency.” The bulk of Plaintiff’s
19 letters complain of conditions in the Saginaw County Jail, including threats by other inmates
20 (“inmates (carpetbagger as spaniards, asians, even coloreds) torment me across in 12 man
21 cell;” “inmates talk on killing my family”), alleged violence from jail officials
22 (“biochemicals to kill served in food”), poor air circulation, and a lack of access to
23 medication and legal materials. The letters further complain of mail fraud, and ask this Court
24 to send legal materials and to assign Plaintiff to a “mental health hospital.” As the Court has
25 repeatedly advised Plaintiff, these matters are beyond the scope of his suit against Pfizer, Inc.

26 Plaintiff’s letters also reference the hearing held on July 24, 2009 relating to Pfizer
27 Inc.’s motion to dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff’s claims. Plaintiff states, for example, “I
28 have MRI’s echo-gram, stress-test and blood works.” At the hearing on July 24, 2009, the
Court offered Plaintiff additional time to supplement his proof of compliance with PTO 31

1 with such material. Plaintiff refused the Court's offer. Accordingly, the Court has limited its
2 review to the materials Plaintiff submitted prior to the July 24, 2009 hearing.

3 To the extent Plaintiff has made a motion to this Court it is DENIED.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: August 3, 2009



6 CHARLES R. BREYER
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28